Roots of Unity

Number Theoretic Transform

Details 0000000

## Number Theoretic Transform (NTT)

January 21, 2025

#### **Distributed Lab**

zkdl-camp.github.iogithub.com/ZKDL-Camp



### Plan

- 1 Recap on Interpolation
  - Polynomial Interpolation is a Universal Encoder
  - Motivation for NTT
- 2 Roots of Unity
  - Multiplicative Subgroup of Finite Fields
  - Barycentric Interpolation
- 3 Number Theoretic Transform
  - Three Gadgets
  - Polynomial vs NTT Domain
- 4 Details
  - Why NTT takes quasilinear complexity?

Recap on Interpolation  $_{\odot \odot \odot \odot}$ 

Roots of Unity

Number Theoretic Transform

Details 0000000

## Recap on Interpolation

### **Polynomial Interpolation**

#### Notice

All the previous protocols use the idea that polynomials are **universal data encoders**. We can encode any set of scalars  $(a_0, \ldots, a_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{F}^N$  using **interpolation**:

$$p(x_j) = a_j, \quad j = 0, \dots, N-1, \quad \{x_j\}_{j \in [N]}$$
 are fixed



Figure: Polynomial Interpolation as a universal encoder.

### **Polynomial Interpolation**

#### Example

In Groth16, we used interpolation of 3n polynomials:

$$L_j(i) = \ell_{i,j}, \quad R_j(i) = r_{i,j}, \quad O_j(i) = o_{i,j},$$

where  $\ell_{i,j}$ ,  $r_{i,j}$ ,  $o_{i,j}$  are the elements of constraint matrices L, R, O (left, right, and output).

However, in PlonK we have witnessed  $a(\omega^j) = A_j$  where  $A_j$  are the elements of the left trace vector A.

#### Question

What the heck is this  $\omega$ ? Why do we need it? How it helps?



### Why we need something advanced?

#### Recall

The interpolation formula in given by:

$$p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i \cdot \ell_i(x), \quad \ell_i(x) = \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^{N-1} \frac{x - x_j}{x_i - x_j}$$

#### Question

What is the naive complexity of this interpolation implementation?

#### **Observation**

Through careful choice of  $\{x_j\}_{j \in [N]}$ , we can reduce the complexity of interpolation, multiplication, or other complex operations to  $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$ . **Spoiler:** we will use the *n*th roots of unity domain  $\Omega = \{\omega^j\}_{j \in [N]}$ . Let us see why it helps.

Roots of Unity ●○○○○○○○○ Number Theoretic Transform

Details 0000000

## **Roots of Unity**

### Multiplicative Subgroup.

We know that  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is a **field**: we have a usual arithmetic  $+, \times$ .

Question

Does  $(\mathbb{F}_p, \times)$  form a group?

No, since 0 does not have an inverse. But, if we consider  $(\mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}, \times)$ , we do have a group structure!

#### Definition

A multiplicative group of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$ , denoted as  $\mathbb{F}^{\times}$ , is a multiplicative group ( $\mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}, \times$ ).

#### Number of Elements

The number of elements in  $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$  is p-1.

### **Primitive Root**

#### Theorem

Multiplicative group of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$  is cyclic. The generators  $\omega$  of this group are called **primitive roots**.

#### Example

 $\omega = 3$  is the primitive root of  $\mathbb{F}_7$ . Indeed,

$$3^1 = 3, \quad 3^2 = 2, \quad 3^3 = 6, \quad 3^4 = 4, \quad 3^5 = 5, \quad 3^6 = 1$$

Clearly,  $\langle \omega \rangle = \mathbb{F}_7^{\times}$ .

The set  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$  is not useful on its own. However, we can consider the following set, called *r*-th roots of unity:

$$\Omega_r = \{ \omega \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times} \mid \omega^r = 1 \} \subset \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}.$$

Question. When such cyclic group exists?

Roots of Unity

Number Theoretic Transform

### **Roots of Unity**

#### Theorem (Lagrange Theorem)

If  $\mathbb{H} \leq \mathbb{G}$  is a subgroup of any finite group  $\mathbb{G}$ , then  $ord(\mathbb{H}) \mid ord(\mathbb{G})$ .

Corollary

11

$$\Omega_r$$
 is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ , then  $r \mid (p-1)$ .

#### Some other Notes

Moreover, one might prove in the opposite direction:

- If  $r \mid (p-1)$ , then there exists a subgroup  $\Omega_r \leq \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ .
- Its generator is given by  $\omega = g^{(p-1)/r}$  where  $\langle g \rangle = \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ .

#### Yet another note

Typically, we would need r to be the power of two. We will see why in the NTT section.

Roots of Unity

Number Theoretic Transform

### **Complex Analysis Interpretation**



**Figure:** Visualization of the roots of unity  $\Omega_5 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z^5 = 1\}$ .

On the complex plane, the generator of the *r*-th roots of unity  $\Omega_r$  is given by  $\zeta_r = e^{2\pi i/r}$ . In a finite field, we do not have such a luxury.

### Vanishing Polynomial

#### Definition

The vanishing polynomial  $z_D(x)$  of a set  $D \subset \mathbb{F}_p$  is a polynomial satisfying  $z_D(d) = 0$  for all  $d \in D$ .

Vanishing polynomials are always of form  $z_D(x) = c \cdot \prod_{d \in D} (x - d)$ .

The interesting question is: what is the vanishing polynomial of the *r*-th roots of unity  $\Omega_r$ ? For simplicity, assume c = 1.

#### Lemma

The vanishing polynomial of  $\Omega_r$  is  $z_{\Omega}(x) = x^r - 1$ .

**Proof Idea.** Since for any  $\zeta \in \Omega_r$  we have  $\zeta^r = 1$ , or, equivalently,  $\zeta^r - 1$ . Thus, any  $\zeta \in \Omega_r$  is a root of  $z_{\Omega}(x) = x^r - 1$ .

 $\underset{0000}{\text{Recap on Interpolation}}$ 

Roots of Unity

Number Theoretic Transform

Details 0000000

### Vanishing Polynomial over $\mathbb{R}$



**Figure:** Vanishing polynomial p(x) = (x - 1)(x - 2)(x - 4) of  $D = \{1, 2, 4\}$ 

### Barycentric Interpolation

Now, let us come back to the interpolation problem  $p(x_j) = a_j$  for  $j \in [N]$ . Introduce  $\gamma(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} (x - x_j)$ .

#### Proposition

The Lagrange basis polynomial  $\ell_j$  can be rewritten as:

$$\ell_j(x) = \gamma(x) \cdot \frac{w_j}{x - x_j}, \quad w_j = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0, k \neq j}^{N-1} (x_j - x_k)}.$$

Let us substitute it into the interpolation formula:

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_j \ell_j(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_j \gamma(x) \frac{w_j}{x - x_j} = \gamma(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{w_j}{x - x_j} a_j.$$

### Barycentric Interpolation (Cont.)

Barycentric Formula: 
$$p(x) = \gamma(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{w_j}{x - x_j} a_j$$

#### Proposition

- Computing  $\{w_j\}_{j \in [N]}$  costs  $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$  operations before evaluation.
- Both  $\gamma(x)$  and sum requires  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  operations.

But what happens if instead of  $x_j$ , we use  $\omega^j \in \Omega_N$ ?

$$p(x) = \frac{x^N - 1}{N} \sum_{j \in [N]} \frac{\omega^j}{x - \omega^j} a_j$$

**Takeaway:** We can interpolate in  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  operations.

Roots of Unity

Number Theoretic Transform

Details 0000000

## Number Theoretic Transform

Roots of Unity

Number Theoretic Transform

### What is NTT?

Now suppose we want to find m(x) = p(x)q(x). We'll use NTT!

Question

What does it mean that you *know* polynomial  $p(x) \in \mathbb{F}^{(\leq N)}[x]$ ?

This means either of two (typically):

- You know the polynomial coefficients  $p_0, \ldots, p_{N-1}$ .
- You know the polynomial values at some points  $\{(x_j, a_j)\}_{j \in [N]}$ .

#### Definition (NTT)

Suppose  $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_j x^j$ . The Number Theoretic Transform (NTT) of p is defined as evaluations of p at the *N*-th roots of unity:

$$\mathsf{NTT}(p) = \left(p(\omega^0), p(\omega^1), \dots, p(\omega^{N-1})\right).$$

### What is the point of NTT?

**Note:** To denote the result of NTT, we use hat:  $\hat{p} = NTT(p)$ .

**Question:** Given NTTs  $\hat{p}$  and  $\hat{q}$  of two polynomials p and q, how do we find the NTT of their product m(x) = p(x)q(x)?

#### Main NTT Property

Suppose m(x) = p(x)q(x) is the product of p and q. Then,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{m}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{p}} \odot \hat{\boldsymbol{q}}$$

Speaking more formally, NTT :  $(\mathbb{F}^{(\leq N)}[X], \times) \to (\mathbb{F}^N, \odot)$  is a homomorphism between a set of polynomials of degree up to N and their NTT domain. With certain appropriate technicalities, NTT can be extended to the isomorphism (namely, use  $\mathbb{F}[X]/(X^N - 1)$ ).

Why? Well... 
$$m(\omega^j) = p(\omega^j)q(\omega^j)$$
 :/

### Final Ingredient: Inverse NTT

Now, can we restore the polynomial m(x) from its NTT  $\hat{m}$ ? Of course!

#### Definition

Inverse NTT The Inverse Number Theoretic Transform (INTT) is a function that restores the polynomial m(x) from its evaluations  $\hat{m}$ :

$$INTT(\hat{m}) = (m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{N-1})$$

In its essence, we solve the interpolation problem:

 $m(\omega^j) = \hat{m}_j, j \in [N],$  Goal: find coefficients  $m_0, \ldots, m_{N-1}$ 

Recap	Interpolation

Roots of Unity

Number Theoretic Transform

### Punchline



Figure: Illustration of the NTT Algorithm

Question

Does it resemble you one trick from Elliptic Curves?

Recap	on	Interpolation
0000		

Roots of Unity

Number Theoretic Transform

### Illustration



**Figure:** Illustration of the FFT Algorithm. Taken from "The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT): Most Ingenious Algorithm Ever?"

Roots of Unity

Number Theoretic Transform

Details ●○○○○○○

## Details

### When NTT works?

#### Note

For NTT to work, we will impose the following requirements on our setup:

- 1. The field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  should have  $2^k$ -roots of unity for sufficiently many k. In other words,  $p = p' \cdot 2^m + 1$  with *small*  $p' \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 2. The polynomial order is  $N = 2^k$ . Not a strict requirement, since we can always pad the polynomial with zeros.

#### Example

- BabyBear prime p = 15 ⋅ 2<sup>27</sup> + 1 is NTT-friendly: the order of multiplicative group is 15 ⋅ 2<sup>27</sup>, so 2<sup>k</sup> | 15 ⋅ 2<sup>27</sup> for all k ≤ 27.
- Mersenne prime  $p = 2^{31} 1$  is not NTT-friendly: the order of multiplicative group is  $2^{31} 2 = 2 \times (2^{30} 1)$ .

Number Theoretic Transform

### Why NTT takes quasilinear complexity?

Recall that we need to evaluate N expressions:

$$p(\omega^j) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} p_i(\omega^j)^i = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} p_i\omega^{ij}, \quad j \in [N].$$

**Naive Complexity:**  $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$  operations. We need N evaluations, each of which requires N multiplications.

$$p(\omega^{j}) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{r}-1} p_{i}\omega^{ij} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{r-1}-1} p_{2i}\omega^{2ij} + \sum_{i=0}^{2^{r-1}-1} p_{2i+1}\omega^{j(2i+1)}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{r-1}-1} p_{2i}(\omega^{2j})^{i} + \omega^{j} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{r-1}-1} p_{2i+1}(\omega^{2j})^{i}.$$

0000	000000000	000000	00000
Recap on Interpolation	Roots of Unity	Number Theoretic Transform	Details

### Folding

Denote 
$$p_E(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{r-1}-1} p_{2i}x^i$$
 and  $p_O(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{r-1}-1} p_{2i+1}x^i$ .  
Then,

$$p(\omega^j) = p_E(\omega^{2j}) + \omega^j p_O(\omega^{2j}).$$

#### Fact #1

We need only N/2 evaluations from  $\Omega$  of  $p_E$  and  $p_O$ . Note that:

$$p(\omega^{j+N/2}) = p_E(\omega^{2j}) + \omega^j \omega^{N/2} p_O(\omega^{2j}).$$

#### Fact #2

- We need to evaluate two N/2-degree polynomials.
- We need to evaluate them at N/2 points. Thus, we shrink the problem size by half at each step.

### Algorithm Summarized

**Algorithm 1**: Number Theoretic Transform (NTT)

**Input** : Polynomial  $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} p_i x^i$ **Output** Vector of evaluations  $NTT(\mathbf{p}, \omega)$  at  $\Omega = \{\omega\}_{i \in [N]}$ 1 if N = 1 then Return :  $(p_0)$ 2 end 3  $H \leftarrow N/2$  /\* Compute the domain half-size \*/ 4  $p_F \leftarrow (p_0, p_2, \dots, p_{N-2})$  /\* Find even-indexed coefficients \*/ 5  $\boldsymbol{p}_{O} \leftarrow (p_1, p_3, \dots, p_{N-1}) / *$  Find odd-indexed coefficients \*/ 6  $\mathbf{y}_{F} \leftarrow \mathsf{NTT}(\mathbf{p}_{F}, \omega^{2})$  /\* Compute NTT for even polynomial via  $\frac{N}{2}$ th primitive root  $\omega^2$ \*/ 7  $\mathbf{y}_{O} \leftarrow \mathsf{NTT}(\mathbf{p}_{O}, \omega^{2})$  /\* Compute NTT for odd polynomial via  $\frac{N}{2}$ th primitive root  $\omega^2$ \*/ **Return** :  $(y_0, \ldots, y_{N-1})$  with  $y_i = y_{E, i \mod H} + \omega^j y_{O, i \mod H}$ 

Recap	Interpolation

Roots of Unity

Number Theoretic Transform

### **Inverse NTT**

#### Theorem

The Inverse NTT can be computed in the same way as NTT, but with the inverse primitive root  $\omega^{-1}$ :

$$p_j = rac{1}{N}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\omega^{-ij}\hat{p}_i$$

Thus, its complexity is also  $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$ .

#### Conclusion

To compute m(x) = p(x)q(x), simply use the following:

 $m(x) = INTT(NTT(p) \odot NTT(q))$ 

The total complexity remains  $\mathcal{O}(N \log N)$ .

## Thank you for your attention ♥

# zkdl-camp.github.iogithub.com/ZKDL-Camp

